Technical Meeting to prepare AQRM Institutional Evaluations



28 - 29 March 2017, Accra, Ghana

The AQRM as a Tool for Institutional Evaluation An introduction (Purpose, Methodology)

Presented by
Dr. Yohannes Woldetensae
Senior Education Expert
African Union Commission

Introduction

- HEIs shall improve their internal QA mechanisms and enhance effectiveness in teaching & research through self-assessment and peer-review
- Mechanisms for assuring quality must be put in place to undertake a critical self-analysis
- In its endeavor to contribute to QA, the AUC spearheaded the development of AQRM

AQRM → African Quality Rating Mechanism

 The AQRM is not a ranking instrument and it does not promote listing of institutions in a league table

Introduction

- Global ranking systems have not provided African HEIs with mechanisms to enhance quality
- Global ranking systems also fail to take account of African realities into consideration
- AQRM is a tool to facilitate culture of continuous quality improvement in African HEIs through selfevaluation exercises and external validation
- AQRM deepens commitment to quality so that African universities will be strengthened for local relevance and global competitiveness

African Quality Rating Mechanism Main Objectives

- To support the development of institutional cultures of quality and commitment to quality
- To ensure the performance of African HEIs can be compared against a set of criteria
- To fostering comparability among qualifications and facilitate academic mobility
- To improve the quality of delivery in African HEIs
- To enhance global competitiveness of African higher education institutions
 - → AQRM is one of the instruments to implement the Pan-African QA and Accreditation Framework

Development of AQRM

- The AQRM was developed through extensive dialogue with the African academic community
- AQRM was adopted by the COMEDAF III in 2007
- It was validated by stakeholders in a meeting cohosted by the AAU in Accra, in March 2008
- Pilot Self-Rating of AQRM was conducted in 2010
 → 32 institutions from 11 countries participated
- The pilot exercise results were published by AUC

Revised AQRM

- Following the pilot survey, revised questionnaire of AQRM was designed using a five-point scale scoring sheet consisting of 84 standards (49 Institution-level and 35 Programme-level)
- In February 2014, a call was made for universities to participate in AQRM self-rating exercise
- Nine Universities were selected to validate results of institutions' self-rating through onsite visits with external reviewers of quality experts
- 15 quality experts undertook the AQRM validation missions and prepared assessment reports

AQRM Evaluation Exercisewith Nine Universities

Addis Ababa University (Ethiopia)

Strathmore University (Kenya)

Dar es Salaam University (Tanzania)

University of Yaoundé II (Cameroon)

Botho University (South Africa)

Kwazulu-Natal University (South Africa)

Cape Coast University Institute of Water, 2IE (Ghana) (Burkina Faso)

University of Tlemcen (Algeria)

A consolidated report of the AQRM evaluation is published in English and French versions

Adopting AQRM for Improving Quality in African Universities

- AQRM is little known by HEIs who are expected to drive the process of its implementation
- Strong advocacy should therefore be given to AQRM to enhance its reputation
- Steps should be taken to ensure that AQRM is adopted by African Universities as one of the mechanisms for assessing and improving quality
- The AAU should encourage its member HEIs to fostering the adoption of AQRM
- African HEIs should take ownership of AQRM and use it as one means for quality improvements

AQRM – Major Criteria for Rating

Institutional Level

- Governance and Mgt
- Infrastructure
- Finances
- Teaching & Learning
- Research, Publication and Innovation
- Societal Engagement

49 Standards

Programme Level

- Programme Planning and Management
- Curriculum
 Development
- Teaching & Learning
- Assessment
- Programme Results

35 Standards

AQRM → Five-Scale Rating

 The AQRM is not a ranking instrument, rather it allows for classification of institutions and programmes into five categories:

POOR Quality INSUFFICIENT Quality,

SATISFACTORY Quality GOOD Quality,

or **EXCELLENT** Quality

AQRM standards assigned the value (0, 1, 2, 3, or 4)

0 = POOR PERFORMANCE

1 = INSUFFICIENT PERFORMANCE

2 = SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

3 = GOOD PERFORMANCE

4 = EXCELLENT ERFORMANCE